A solid phase parallel synthesis of diverse amides as dopamine D_3 receptor ligands

MICKAËL JEAN¹, JACQUES RENAULT¹, JEAN-CLAUDE CAMELIN², NICOLAS LEVOIN², DENIS DANVY², HOLGER STARK³, MARC CAPET², & PHILIPPE URIAC¹

¹UPRES EA 4090, Substances lichéniques et photoprotection, Faculté des Sciences Biologiques et Médicales, Université de Rennes I, 2, Avenue du Pr. Léon Bernard, 35043 Rennes, France, ²Bioprojet-Biotech, 4 rue du Chesnay Beauregard, BP 96205, 35762 Saint-Grégoire, France, and ³Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universitaet, Biozentrum, Max-von-Laue-Strasse 9, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

(Received 22 December 2006; accepted 12 March 2007)

Abstract

A solid phase parallel synthesis using SynPhaseTM technology was used to couple a series of 21 carboxylic with three different 4-(4-arylpiperazinyl) butanamines. The resulting library was evaluated as dopamine D_3 receptor ligands giving rise to several compounds with affinities in the low nanomolar concentration range (9e and 9n with binding affinities at D_3 receptors of 0.10 and 0.35 nM respectively).

Keywords: D_3 receptors, ligand, dopamine, amide

Introduction

Since its discovery in 1990 [1], the dopamine D_3 receptor has been widely studied [2]. Its localisation in the limbic area of the brain [3] as well as the early investigations with agonists [4] or antagonists [5] led to the current situation that the therapeutic use of such compounds is mainly directed towards drug abuse. More recent studies have shown that potential therapeutic uses could be in other neurological and neuropsychiatric disorders [6]. The aromatic amide **BP 897**⁵ (Figure 1) is the first representative of potent selective antagonists or partial agonists at this receptor. It has been used as prototype for further analogues by many groups.

Modification of the aromatic amide (Figure 2) has mainly focused on its replacement with other polyaromatics such as fluorene NGB2904 [7] and biphenyl GR103691, [8] or heteroaromatics like benzothiophene FAUC346 [9] or benzofurane A [10]. More recently, isosteric replacement of the aromatic amide with pyrimidone [11] or incorporation into a benzodiazepinedione [12] has been reported.

In the continuation of the previously reported modulations of **BP897** [13], we have performed some variations of both amide and aryl piperazine moieties using a combinatorial solid phase approach. Thus, three sub-series (8-10) of compounds were synthesized corresponding to variation of \mathbb{R}^1 substituent (Figure 3). Concerning the amide part, three kinds of \mathbb{R}^2 substituents have been introduced: aryl ($\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{g}$), heteroaryl ($\mathbf{h}-\mathbf{j}$) and aryl connected through variable alkyl linkers ($\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{u}$).

Materials and methods

General

Syntheses were performed on SynPhase[™] Lanterns (Code SPPS, D series, Linker BAL, 35 µmoles). ¹H NMR Spectra were recorded on a Jeol GSX spectrometer at 270 MHz. High resolution mass

Correspondence: J. Renault, UPRES EA 4090, Substances lichéniques et photoprotection, Faculté des Sciences Biologiques et Médicales, Université de Rennes I, 2, Avenue du Pr. Léon Bernard, 35043 Rennes, France. Tel: 33 223 23 48 66. Fax: 33 223 23 44 25. E-mail: jacques.renault@univ-rennes1.fr

ISSN 1475-6366 print/ISSN 1475-6374 online © 2008 Informa UK Ltd. DOI: 10.1080/14756360701830023

Figure 1. Chemical structure of **BP897**.

spectra (HRMS) were recorded at the "Centre Régional de Mesures Physiques de l'Ouest" on a ZabSpec Tof Micromass spectrometer using a LSIMS (Cs⁺) or electrospray ionisation mode. Reagent-grade solvents were purchased from chemical suppliers and used directly without further purification unless otherwise specified. Thin-layer chromatography using CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH (95/5) as an eluent was performed on Merck silica gel 60 F254 (layer thickness: 0.22 mm) and assessed the purity of the compounds (>95%). The compounds were visualised using UV light, ninhydrin or iodine. The structure of compounds was checked using ¹H NMR and HRMS.

Synthesis

Anchorage of amines to BAL lanterns. To a solution of a primary amine (1 M), NaBH₃CN (0.063 g; 0.1 M) and AcOH (0.1 mL) in $N_{,}N$ -dimethylformamide (DMF) (10 mL) were added 21 SynPhase Lanterns 1. The solution was heated to 60°C for 16 h. The lanterns 2–4 were then washed with 3 × DMF and 3 × CH₂Cl₂ (general procedure) and dried under vacuum. One lantern of each amine pool was taken to

form 21 identical pools that will react with acyl chlorides or carboxylic acids.

Formation of amide bond from acyl chlorides. To a pool of 3 lanterns 2-4 was added a solution of *N*-methylmorpholine (0.25 M) and R²-COCl (0.25 M) in dichloromethane (2 mL) for 1 h at room temperature. The lanterns 5a-7b were then washed according to the general procedure and dried under vacuum.

Formation of amide bond from carboxylic acids. To a pool of 3 lanterns 2-4 was added a solution of diisopropyl carbodiimide (DIC, 0.2 M), hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt, 0.2 M) and R²-COOH (0.2 M) in DMF (2 mL) for 16 h at room temperature. The lanterns **5c-7u** were then washed according to the general procedure and dried under vacuum.

Cleavage. The lanterns **5a-7u** were then separated in a 96-well plate and 0.5 mL of trifluoroacetic acid in CH_2Cl_2 (20:80) was added. After 1 h at room temperature, the lanterns were removed and the cleavage solutions were evaporated under vacuum to yield the compounds **8a-10u** (average yield: 48%).

 $N-\{4-[4-(2,3-Dichlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]butyl\}$ benzamide, trifluoroacetate salt (8a). ¹H NMR



Figure 2. Aromatic analogs of BP897.



Figure 3. Exploration of R^1 and R^2 parts of **BP897**.

 $\begin{array}{l} ({\rm CD}_{3}{\rm OD}) \ \delta \ ({\rm ppm}): \ 1.77 \ ({\rm qt}, \ \mathcal{J}=7.0 \ {\rm Hz}, \ \mathcal{J}=6.7 \ {\rm Hz}, \\ 2{\rm H}), \ 1.90 \ ({\rm m}, \ 2{\rm H}), \ 3.16 \ ({\rm t}, \ \mathcal{J}=12.0 \ {\rm Hz}, \ 2{\rm H}), \ 3.34 \\ ({\rm m}, \ 6{\rm H}), \ \ 3.49 \ \ ({\rm t}, \ \mathcal{J}=6.9 \ {\rm Hz}, \ 2{\rm H}), \ \ 3.53 \ \ ({\rm d}, \\ \mathcal{J}=13.0 \ {\rm Hz}, \ 2{\rm H}), \ \ 3.71 \ \ ({\rm d}, \ \mathcal{J}=12.0 \ {\rm Hz}, \ 2{\rm H}), \ \ 7.17 \\ ({\rm dd}, \ \mathcal{J}=2.2, \ \mathcal{J}=7.3, \ 1{\rm H}), \ \ 7.32 \ \ ({\rm m}, \ 2{\rm H}), \ \ 7.48 \ \ ({\rm t}, \\ \mathcal{J}=7.5 \ {\rm Hz}, \ 2{\rm H}), \ \ 7.56 \ \ ({\rm t}, \ \mathcal{J}=7.5 \ {\rm Hz}, \ 1{\rm H}), \ \ 7.85 \ \ ({\rm m}, \ 2{\rm H}), \ \ R_{\rm f} \ ({\rm CH}_2{\rm Cl}_2/{\rm CH}_3{\rm OH}: \ 95/5) = 0.30. \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} N-\{4-[4-(3-(Trifluoromethyl)\ phenyl)\ piperazin-1-\\ yl]butyl\}-5-phenylpentanamide,\ trifluoroacetate\ salt\ (9r).\\ ^{1}H\ NMR\ (DMSO-d_{6})\ \delta\ (ppm):1.61\ (m,\ 2H),\ 1.66\ (q,\ \mathcal{J}=3.5\ Hz,\ 4H),\ 1.80\ (m,\ \mathcal{J}=3.6\ Hz,\ \mathcal{J}=7.31\ Hz,\ 2H),\ 2.25\ (t,\ \mathcal{J}=6.8\ Hz,\ 2H),\ 2.64\ (t,\ \mathcal{J}=6.8\ Hz,\ 2H),\ 3.13\ (m,\ 2H),\ 3.24\ (m,\ 6H),\ 3.33\ (t,\ \mathcal{J}=1.6\ Hz,\ 2H),\ 3.66\ (m,\ 2H),\ 3.91\ (m,\ 2H),\ 7.21\ (m,\ 8H),\ 7.49\ (t,\ \mathcal{J}=8.3\ Hz,\ 1H).\ R_{f}\ (CH_{2}Cl_{2}/CH_{3}OH:\ 95/5)=0.25. \end{array}$

 $N-\{4-[4-(2-Fluorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-butyl\}-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthyl-2-amide, trifluoroacetate salt (10d). ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) <math>\delta$ (ppm): 1.73 (qt, $\mathcal{J} = 7.0$ Hz, $\mathcal{J} = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 1.86 (m, 6H), 2.82 (d, $\mathcal{J} = 3.2$ Hz, 4H), 3.14 (t, $\mathcal{J} = 12.2$ Hz, 2H), 3.31 (m, 6H), 3.47 (t, $\mathcal{J} = 6.7$ Hz, 2H), 3.62 (d, $\mathcal{J} = 13.3$ Hz, 2H), 3.69 (d, $\mathcal{J} = 12.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.12 (m, 5H), 7.54 (m, 2H). R_f (CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH: 95/5) = 0.38.

9b. HRMS $[M + H]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{22}H_{25}F_4N_3O$: 424.2012; found: 424.2012. **8h**: HRMS $[M + H]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{20}H_{23}BrCl_2N_4O$: 485.0510; found: 485.0510. **9l**: HRMS $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{36}H_{38}F_3N_3O_3$: 618.2943; found: 618.2941. **8c**: HRMS $[M + H]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{22}H_{27}Cl_2N_3O_3S$: 484.1228; found: 484.1234. **10g**: HRMS $[M + H]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{28}H_{32}FN_3O_2$: 462.2556; found: 462.2550. **9r**: HRMS $[M + H]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{25}H_{34}FN_3O$: 412.2764; found: 412.2762.

Pharmacological characterisation

Affinity of compounds for the human D_3 receptor was determined by [³H] spiperone binding CHO cells that had been tranfected by the cDNA coding for the human D_3 receptor (h D_3). [³H]Spiperone (0,5 at 2 nM) binding was performed in the presence of 2.5 to 5 µg of membrane proteins in a medium containing 120 mM of NaCl, 5 mM of KCl, and 50 mM of Tris HCl at pH 7.4; incubation for 60 min at room temperature was performed. Non-specific binding was estimated in the presence of 10 µM haloperidol. Nontransfected cells are devoid of any specific binding. The products were tested in duplicate experiments at five different concentrations: 0.1, 1, 10, 100 and 1 000 nM.

Results and discussion

To minimize operations encountered in solution phase synthesis, we selected SynPhaseTM Lanterns [14] with BAL linker (Mimotopes Pty Ltd) that offer synthesis characteristics and functionality comparable to classical resins. Their easy and fast handling facilitates parallel synthesis of milligram scale individualised compounds (35 μ mol per support) using the "splitpool" procedure [15]. BAL linker allows fixation of primary amines through reductive amination then formation of amides that can easily be cleaved from the support.

The first step of our work on solid support (Scheme 1) according to the split-pool technique consisted in a reductive amination to the BAL linker. Thus we formed three pools of 21 BAL lanterns 1 and put each one in a solution of the desired amine [16,17] (1 M) in DMF in the presence of NaBH₃CN and a catalytic amount of acetic acid. The temperature was maintained at 60° C for 16 h in the separate solutions (10 mL) affording three pools (2–4).



Scheme 1. Synthetic scheme for compounds 8a-10u.

		$HN \sim N \sim N \sim 10^{-10}$					$HN \sim N \sim$		
	0 R ²	8:R ¹ =2,3- dichloro	9 :R ¹ =3- trifluoromethyl	10 :R ¹ =2- fluoro		R ²	8:R ¹ =2,3- dichloro	9 :R ¹ =3- trifluoromethyl	10:R ¹ =2- fluoro
a	0 ————————————————————————————————————	1.1	0.40	1.7	1		4.2	7.7	25
b		0.40	1.4	1.8	m		3.5	9.9	22
c	H ₃ C 0 S 0	1.2	1.4	2.0	n	CH ₃ O	0.85	0.35	0.4- 1
d		4.0	1.2	2.6	0		6.0	2.25	16
e		0.70	0.10	1.0	р	H_3C H O	3.0	9.2	73
f		1.4	1.2	1.5	q	H ₃ C ⁻⁰	90	31	109
g		0.50	2.0	1.5	r		2.0	12	19
h	Br	2.8	100	41	s	Ph. Otto	3.5	2.0	8.6
i	H ₃ C N OH	2.6	2.5	15	t	Ph	3.7	3.8	34
j		3.3	30	40	u	Ph $O()_{5}$	7.0	22	18
k		6.6	21	60					

Table I. Binding affinities K_i [nM] of 8a-10u at D₃ receptors using a stable transfected CHO cell line.

The next step aimed at forming the amide bond from acyl chlorides or carboxylic acids. Thus 21 identical pools were formed with one lantern of each series 2-4. Two pools (**a** and **b**) were reacted for 1 h with acyl chlorides in the presence of *N*-methylmorpholine in dichloromethane. The other ones (**c** to **u**) were placed in DMF for 16 h in the presence of carboxylic acids and an equimolar mixture of DIC and HOBt, as usually performed in peptidic bond formation. In our synthesis, DIC that generates soluble diisopropylurea was prefered to dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC).

For the final cleavage step, lanterns 5a-7u were distributed in a 96 well plate and a mixture of trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane was added, leading to 5 to 20 mg of the separate amides 8a-10u as

their trifluoroacetate salts (average yield of 48%) after evaporation of the solvents. It is noteworthy that only amides could be separated from the support leading to very good purity according to TLC controls. ¹H NMR Spectra of several compounds showed satisfactory results.

Binding affinities of **8a-10u** at D_3 receptors were then evaluated. Within the (2,3-dichlorophenyl)piperazine series (compounds **8a-u**, Table I), all compounds except **8q** display affinities in low nanomolar concentration range. This substitution generally gives better affinities than 3-trifluoromethyl (series **9**) or 2-fluoro (series **10**). The substitution has only little influence on the ranking order of potencies except for **8q**, **9h**, **10q**.

Benzamide substitution has been discussed previously [13] with 2-methoxyphenylpiperazine derivatives: 2-substitution with methyl and benzoyl chains was found detrimental. In these series we found that fluoro substitution is well tolerated (8-10b) probably due to its small size or an internal hydrogen bond involving the amidic NH keeping the aromatic in a good orientation. Substitution in 3- or 4-position is well tolerated even with bulky substituents such as phenyl, benzoyl or benzyloxy 8e-10g. Heteroaromatics (pyridine 8h-10i, pyrazine 8-10j) show a slight decrease in affinity, probably due to their hydrophilic nature located too close to the amide. Note that 2-substitution with an hydroxyl (8-10i) is also well tolerated, probably due to an intermolecular hydrogen bond.

The presence of a spacer between aromatic and amide part of the molecules **8k-10u** always seems unfavourable except for **8-10n** whose structure is related to one of the previously described **FAUC346** or **A-706149**.

In summary, we consider that solid phase parallel chemistry using SynPhaseTM Lanterns was beneficial to our objective especially in terms of ease and purity of the final compounds. The obtaining of diverse compounds with nanomolar K_i values at human dopamine D_3 receptors will allow selectivity and pharmacokinetic studies to find the best potential candidates for further development.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Philippe Jéhan and Marcel Morvan for technical assistance.

References

- Sokoloff P, Giros B, Martres MP, Bouthenet ML, Schwartz JC. Nature 1990;347:146–151.
- [2] Boeckler F, Gmeiner P. Pharmacol Therapeut 2006;112: 281–333.
- [3] Levant B. Pharmacol Rev 1997;49:231-252.
- [4] Caine SB, Koob GF. Science 1993;260:1814-1816.
- [5] Pilla M, Perachon S, Sautel F, Garrido F, Mann A, Wermuth CG, Schwartz JC, Everitt BJ, Sokoloff P. Nature 1999;400:371–375.
- [6] Luedtke RR, Mach RH. Curr Pharm Design 2003;9:643–671.
- [7] (a) Yuan J, Chen X, Brodbeck R, Primus R, Braun J, Wasley JWF, Thurkauf A. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 1998;8:2715–2718, (b) Robarge MJ, Husbands SM, Kieltyka A, Brodbeck R, Thurkauf A, Newman AH. J Med Chem 2001;44:3175-3186 (erratum 4056).
- [8] Murray PJ, Harrison LA, Johnson MR, Robertson GM, Scopes DIC, Buli DR, Graham SEA, Hayes AG, Kilpatrick GJ, Den Daas I, Large C, Sheehan MJ, Stubbs CM, Turpin MP. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 1995;5:219–222.
- [9] Bettinetti L, Schlotter K, Hübner H, Gmeiner P. J Med Chem 2002;45:4594–4597.
- [10] Leopoldo M, Berardi F, Colabufo NA, De Giorgio P, Lacivita E, Perrone R, Tortorella V. J Med Chem 2002;45:5727–5735.
- [11] (a) Geneste H, Backfisch G, Braje W, Delzer J, Haupt A, Hutchins CW, King LL, Kling A, Teschendorf HJ, Unger L, Wernet W. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2006;16:490–494, (b) Geneste H, Amberg W, Backfisch G, Beyerbach A, Braje W, Delzer J, Haupt A, Hutchins CW, King LL, Sauer DR, Unger L, Wernet W. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2006;16:1934-1937.
- [12] Geneste H, Backfisch G, Braje W, Delzer J, Haupt A, Hutchins CW, King LL, Lubisch W, Steiner G, Teschendorf HJ, Unger L, Wernet W. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2006;16:658–662.
- [13] Heidler P, Zohrabi-Kalantari V, Calmels T, Capet M, Schwartz JC, Stark H, Link A. Bioorg Med Chem 2005;13: 2009–2014.
- [14] For a recent review about SynPhase[™] Lanterns, see: Parsons JG, Sheehan CS, Wu Z, James IW, Bray AM. Methods Enzymol 2003;369:39-74.
- [15] Renault J, Lebranchu M, Lecat A, Uriac P. Tetrahedron Lett 2001;42:6655–6658.
- [16] Yuan J, Chen X, Brodbeck R, Primus R, Braun J, Wasley JWF, Thurkauf A. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 1998;8:2715–2718.
- [17] Capet M, Danvy D, Levoin N, Morvan M, Berrebi-Bertrand I, Calmels T, Robert P, Schwartz JC, Lecompte JM. US Pat Appl Publ 2006, US2006089364.